

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/711,549	Applicant(s) KUMER, GOPESH	
	Examiner ANTHONY MEJIA	Art Unit 2451	

All Participants:

(1) ANTHONY MEJIA.

(2) MATTHEW T. WELKER.

Date of Interview: 7 June 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *N/A.*

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
1-20

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: 71

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: N/A

/A.M./

Patent Examiner Art Unit 2451

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted assigned attorney to ask for the clarification of the term "Agent" that is being used throughout the currently pending Claims 1-20 and now in the Remarks presented on 03 March 2010. Attorney simply directed Examiner to para [0014] of the Specification. Examiner advised attorney that the broadest and reasonable interpretation will be giving to the term "Agent" as used in the Claims, in next response. .